

Proxy Re-Signature in the Standard Model

Sherman Chow

Raphael Phan

Presented by
Xuhua Ding



ISC 2008 Sep 18 2008
INFORMATION SECURITY CONFERENCE



Rundown

- Introduction and applications
- Properties of Proxy Re-Signature (PRS)
- Security notions of different PRS schemes
- Analysis of a Bidirectional PRS scheme
- Homomorphic Compartment Signature (HCS)
- PRS from HCS
- Forward-Security and Temporary Delegation

Crypto Transformation

- Proxy re-cryptography
 - delegating transformation right of cryptographic objects to a *semi-trusted proxy*
 - a cryptographic task which can only be completed by a delegator now becomes a task that can be completed by a delegatee

Whatever you sign, I'll sign

- Proxy re-signature
 - signatures signed by a delegator can be transformed (by a proxy) into ones signed by a delegatee
 - without allowing the proxy to sign on any other messages
 - without further involvement of the delegator/delegatee

Some Applications

- Public key certificate management
 - transform a certificate signed by some key to one that can be verified by a public key which is already “trusted” by the verifier
 - cross-certification
- A kind of “group signature”
 - transform a signature signed by an employee to one that can be verified by the corporate key

Framework

- KeyGen, Sign, Verify: like a standard signature
- ReKey
 - takes (an optional) delegatee's private key sk_A
 - a delegator's private key sk_B
 - the corresponding public key (pk_A, pk_B)
 - outputs $rk_{A \rightarrow B}$
 - which transforms A 's signature into B 's
 - remember that B is the *delegator*, since $rk_{A \rightarrow B}$ will be used by ReSign to produce B 's signature

Framework (cont.)

- KeyGen, Sign, Verify, ReKey
- ReSign
 - takes the transformation key $rk_{A \rightarrow B}$
 - a transformable signature σ_A
 - a public key pk_A
 - a message m
 - outputs σ_B

Private Proxy, Non-interactive

- Private Proxy
 - The transformation key $rk_{A \rightarrow B}$ can be kept private even seeing many pair of signature and its transformation
 - (public proxy):
 - e.g. a signature σ_A and its transformation σ_B gives $rk_{A \rightarrow B}$
 - Everyone is a proxy, not desirable
- Non-interactive
 - Transformation key can be created w/o A 's secret key
 - (interactive): requires A 's help

Unidirectional, Multi-use

- Unidirectional
 - having $rk_{A \rightarrow B}$ does not help the proxy to get $rk_{B \rightarrow A}$
 - (bidirectional):
 - must be interactive
 - easier to design (a scheme will be analyzed later)
- Multi-use
 - Signature output by ReSign is still transformable
 - (single-use): ReSign must take a signature output by Sign, but not by ReSign

Transparent, Non-transitive, ...

- Transparent
 - Signatures generated by Sign and those generated by ReSign are computationally indistinguishable
- Non-transitive
 - The proxy alone cannot re-delegate signing right, e.g. $rk_{A \rightarrow B} + rk_{B \rightarrow C}$ would not give $rk_{A \rightarrow C}$
- Temporary
 - $rk_{A \rightarrow B}$ can be expired

Security for Different PRS Schemes

- 6 major properties, 2 possibilities each
 - 64 different schemes
 - Which notion must be considered in which case?
 - Which notion may not make sense in some cases?
- PRS w/ external security ensures private-proxy
- Delegator security is for a non-transparent PRS
- Bidirectional limited-proxy security is proposed
- Refers to the paper for details

Waters Signature

- Define $F(m_1 m_2 \dots m_n) = u' u_1^{m_1} u_2^{m_2} \dots u_n^{m_n}$
- $e()$ is a bilinear map, $e(g^a, g^b) = e(g, g)^{ab}$
- KeyGen: pick $sk \in \mathbf{Z}_p$, $pk = e(g, g)^{sk}$
- Sign: pick $r \in \mathbf{Z}_p$, output $(\sigma_1 = g^{sk} F(m)^r, \sigma_2 = g^r)$
- Verify: $e(\sigma_1, g) = pk e(F(m), \sigma_2)$

Review of A Bi-directional PRS

- Proposed by Shao *et al.* in Indocrypt '07
- Underlying signature: $(\sigma_1 = g^{sk} F(m)^r, \sigma_2 = g^r)$
- ReKey is just $rk_{A \rightarrow B} = sk_B / sk_A$
 - Its inverse is computable (prime order group)
- ReSign just exponentiates the signature with the transformation key rk , i.e. $(\sigma_1^{rk}, \sigma_2^{rk})$

Design (Flaw)

- One cannot tell the rk exponent from σ and σ^{rk}
 - Their ReSign algorithm does not bother to introduce its own randomness
- Security of Waters signature relies on random r
- Insecurity originated from the deterministic ReSign algorithm, i.e. r in the exponent is fixed
 - Transforming a signature using random factor r always result one implicitly using $r * sk_B/sk_A$

Our First Attack

- Find 4 messages with hash values such that
 - $h_4 = h_1 + h_2 - h_3$ but $h_4 \neq h_1$
 - These messages can be found with high probability
- Sign h_1, h_2, h_3 , all uses the same randomness r
- Ask ReSign oracle to transform into sig. by sk'
- Recall that $\sigma_1 = g^{sk'} F(m)^r$, and all transformed signatures are using the same random factor
- $g^{sk'} F(h_1)^{r'} * g^{sk'} F(h_2)^{r'} / g^{sk'} F(h_3)^{r'} = g^{sk'} F(h_4)^{r'}$

Key Recovery Attack

- The above attack manipulates the bit strings s.t. an addition and then a subtraction gives a valid bit string different from the original one.
- Taking a step further, we can cancel the whole message part and get back the private key!
- Details in the paper, we remark that Kim-Yie-Lim (Intl. J. of Net. Sec.) found the same attack
- Possible fix: re-randomization in ReSign

How to design a unidirectional PRS

- Previous random-oracle based scheme by Ateniese and Hohenberger
- Map signatures from \mathbf{Z}_p to G equipped with bilinear maps
 - One cannot invert pairing, which intuitively matches the idea of unidirectional transformation
- Inherently requires proof-of-knowledge

Hierarchical Signature

- The message to be signed consists of h blocks
- A signature on $(m_1; m_2; \dots ; m_j)$ can act as a restricted private key that enables the signing of any extension $(m_1; m_2; \dots ; m_j)$
- E.g. the secret key gives a signature on m_1 , this signature can be used (without using the secret key) to sign on $m_1; m_2$

Compartment Signature

- Generalize hierarchical signature
- Take $h = 2$, and let $*$ denote a “null message”
- The secret key can sign on either
 - $(m_1; *)$
 - $(*; m_2)$ or
 - $(m_1; m_2)$ for any m_1, m_2
- It is also possible to sign on $(m_1; m_2)$ by either
 - $(m_1; *)$ or
 - $(*; m_2)$

A New Approach for Unidirectional PRS

- To make unidirectional possible, we sign on the delegation relationship $A \rightarrow B$, then we use this as a transformation key
- “Compartment” property gives us the flexibility to sign on the message in one level, or this delegation relationship in another level

The Missing Pieces

- How to cancel the secret key like $rk_{A \rightarrow B} = sk_B / sk_A$ in bidirectional PRS schemes?
 - Homomorphic
- $\text{HSign}_{sk}(m_1, m_2) * \text{HSign}_{sk'}(m_1, m_2) = \text{HSign}_{sk+sk'}(m_1, m_2)$
- How to ensure private-proxy property?
 - Re-randomization
- $\text{HSign}_{sk}(m_1, m_2; r_1, r_2) * \text{HSign}_{sk'}(m_1, m_2; r'_1, r'_2) = \text{HSign}_{sk+sk'}(m_1, m_2; r_1 + r'_1, r_2 + r'_2)$

Homomorphic Compartment Signatures

- While homomorphic compartment signature (HCS) is more general, many hierarchical signature schemes are actually HCS schemes
- These schemes use different parameters for different levels, and uses only commutative multiplications to “glue” up different components
- E.g. signature schemes of Boneh-Boyen-Goh, and Boyen-Shacham-Shen-Waters

Boyen-Waters Signature

- System parameters:
 - $F(m_1 m_2 \dots m_n) = u' u_1^{m_1} u_2^{m_2} \dots u_n^{m_n}$
 - $F'(m_1 m_2 \dots m_n) = v' v_1^{m_1} v_2^{m_2} \dots v_n^{m_n}$
- KeyGen: pick $sk \in \mathbf{Z}_p$, $pk = e(g, g)^{sk}$
- Sign:
 - pick $r, t \in \mathbf{Z}_p$
 - output $(\sigma_1 = g^{sk} F(m)^r F'(m')^t, \sigma_2 = g^r, \sigma_3 = g^t)$
- Verify: $e(\sigma_1, g) = pk e(F(m), \sigma_2) e(F'(m'), \sigma_3)$

Generic Proxy Re-Signature

- Let CS denotes the compartment signature
- Sign: $\text{CS.HSign}_{sk}(*, m; 0, r)$
- ReKey (Interactive):
 - Compute $m_{A \rightarrow B} = H(pk_B)$
 - Pick r_A , compute $\sigma_A = \text{CS.HSign}_{skA}(m_{A \rightarrow B}, *; r_A, 0)$
 - Pick r_B , compute $\sigma_B = \text{CS.HSign}_{skB}(m_{A \rightarrow B}, *; r_B, 0)$
 - Transformation key is σ_B / σ_A
 - $rk_{A \rightarrow B} = \text{CS.HSign}_{skB-skA}(m_{A \rightarrow B}, *; r_B - r_A, 0)$

Generic Proxy Re-Signature (cont.)

- Sign: $\sigma = \text{CS.HSign}_{skA}(*, m; 0, r)$
- ReKey: $rk_{A \rightarrow B} = \text{CS.HSign}_{skB-skA}(m_{A \rightarrow B}, *; r_B - r_B, 0)$
- ReSign:
 - Pick r' , output $\sigma * rk_{A \rightarrow B} * \text{CS.HSign}_0(*, m; 0, r')$
- Verify:
 - Transformable signature: $\text{CS.Verify}(\sigma, (*, m))$
 - Transformed signature: $\text{CS.Verify}(\sigma, (H(pk), m))$

Forward Security

- Hierarchical signature can be used to build forward-secure signature scheme
- Generalizing the proposed generic construction, we get forward-secure PRS

A New Approach for Temporary Delegation

- The proposed construction certifies the delegation relationship by signature
- The delegation thus can be made time-limited
 - the transformation key created at time t can only enable the transformation of signatures for time t , but not any signature issued at any other time
- Our solution just requires delegating a transformation key for the new time period to the proxy
 - instead of assuming all users can access an authenticated copy of the new global parameter [Ateniese-Hohenberger]

Thank you very much!

- Questions and comments are welcome.
- schow@cs.nyu.edu



Security Model

- Proposed by Ateniese and Hohenberger
- Recall that the system has 3 kinds of entities
 - Proxy
 - Delegation partners
 - Delegator
 - Delegatee
- External security
 - attack launched from parties outside the system
 - i.e. neither the delegation partners nor the *proxy*
- For a public-proxy PRS, no one is outsider!
- PRS with external security ensures private-proxy

Insider Security

- Limited-Proxy Security
 - Proxy is the adversary, but its power is limited to itself, i.e. not colluding with any delegation partner
- Delegatee Security
 - Collusion of delegators and proxy
 - Delegatee is to be attacked
- Delegator Security
 - Collusion of delegatees and proxy
 - Delegator is to be attacked

Notations

- Suppose user to be attacked has key (pk', sk')
- O_{Sign} , O_{ReKey} denotes the signing oracle and the transformation key oracle
- pk usually refers to an arbitrary public key

Limited Proxy Security

- Only see the public keys of all users
- Signing oracle accesses of all users
- ReKey oracle accesses (proxy *is* the adversary)
- Winning condition:
 - Forgery does not come from OSign of pk'
 - Forgery does not come from OSign of pk , if OReKey(pk, pk') has been queried for any pk
- Note that the adversary can get $rk_{pk \rightarrow pk'}$

Delegatee Security

- Has the private keys of all but 1 users
- Signing oracle of pk' (others are trivial)
- ReKey oracle for (pk_0, pk) as long as $pk \neq pk'$
 - Otherwise pk becomes a delegator
 - Recall a limited-proxy adversary *can* get $rk_{pk \rightarrow pk'}$
- Winning condition (usual):
 - Forgery does not come from OSign of pk'

Delegator Security

- Has the private keys of all but 1 users
- Signing oracle of pk' (others are trivial)
- ReKey oracle queries for *all kind*
 - Different from delegatee security
- Winning condition (usual):
 - Forgery does not come from OSign of pk'
 - Forgery is a “*untransformed*” signature
- The notion for a non-transparent scheme